

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION EDGAR FILING

Command Center, Inc.

Form: 10-Q/A

Date Filed: 2012-05-04

Corporate Issuer CIK:	1140102
Symbol:	CCNI
SIC Code:	7363
Fiscal Year End:	12/26

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A
(Amendment No. 2)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 000-53088

COMMAND CENTER, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Washington

(State of other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

91-2079472

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3773 West Fifth Avenue, Post Falls, ID

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

83854

(Zip Code)

(208) 773-7450

(Registrant's Telephone Number, including Area Code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer , an accelerated filer , a non-accelerated filer , or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

Number of shares of issuer's common stock outstanding at November 11, 2011: 57,570,368

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This amendment No. 2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Command Center, Inc. for the thirteen and thirty-nine week periods ended September 30, 2011, which was originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on (November 14, 2011, amended) November 15, 2011, is being filed to amend and restate our financial statements for the periods then ended.

In our Form 10-K issued April 9, 2012, we disclosed in Note 15 that workers' compensation deposits for the fiscal years ended 2006 – 2008 were misdirected resulting in a prior overstatement of our workers' compensation deposits of \$715,890. Please see Note 9 – Restatement of the Financial Statements for a Correction of an Error.

There are additional changes in disclosures under Item 2 – Management Discussion and Analysis concerning the effects of this correction.

FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	4
Condensed Statements of Operations for the Thirteen and Thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010	5
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the Thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010	6
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	17
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	17
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	18
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	19
Item 3. Default on Senior Securities	19
Item 4. Removed and Reserved	19
Item 5. Other Information	19
Item 6. Exhibits	19
Signatures	20

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Command Center, Inc.
Condensed Balance Sheets**

	September 30, 2011 <u>(unaudited)</u>	December 31, 2010 <u></u>
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash	\$ 440,035	\$ 1,667,281
Restricted cash	-	150,000
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for bad debt of \$172,225 and \$238,948, respectively	3,803,515	1,886,426
Prepaid expenses, deposits, and other	619,833	351,657
Prepaid workers' compensation premiums	38,784	231,840
Other receivables - current	147,998	6,667
Current portion of workers' compensation deposits	954,000	1,200,000
Total current assets	6,004,165	5,493,871
Property and equipment - net	367,605	411,695
Workers' compensation deposits, less current portion	57,572	714,924
Goodwill	2,500,000	2,500,000
Intangible assets - net	82,835	176,336
Total assets	<u>\$ 9,012,177</u>	<u>\$ 9,296,826</u>

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)**Current liabilities**

Accounts payable	\$ 271,304	\$ 1,546,992
Checks issued and payable	779,702	68,760
Other current liabilities	747,826	560,433
Accrued wages and benefits	1,498,170	881,640
Capital leases	-	5,422
Common stock issuable	26,200	22,200
Current portion of workers' compensation claims liability	1,702,428	1,675,512
Total current liabilities	5,025,630	4,760,959
Warrant liabilities	1,077,281	1,837,785
Workers' compensation claims liability, less current portion	2,238,725	2,875,000
Total liabilities	8,341,636	9,473,744

Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)**Stockholders' equity**

Preferred stock - 5,000,000 shares, \$0.001 par value, authorized; none issued	-	-
Common stock - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.001 par value, authorized; 57,570,368 and 54,624,368 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	57,570	54,624
Additional paid-in capital	54,925,036	53,868,105
Accumulated deficit	(54,312,065)	(54,099,647)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	670,541	(176,918)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	<u>\$ 9,012,177</u>	<u>\$ 9,296,826</u>

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Command Center, Inc.
Condensed Statements of Operations
(unaudited)

	<u>Thirteen Weeks Ended</u>		<u>Thirty-nine Weeks Ended</u>	
	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>September 24,</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>September 24,</u> <u>2010</u>
	(restated)		(restated)	
Revenue	\$ 24,972,597	\$ 19,700,886	\$ 61,652,359	\$ 50,639,842
Cost of staffing services	19,134,642	14,760,448	48,090,005	37,805,681
Gross profit	5,837,955	4,940,438	13,562,854	12,834,161
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4,414,019	3,576,353	12,728,952	10,223,605
Depreciation and amortization	95,252	144,268	353,527	431,127
Income from operations	1,328,684	1,219,817	479,875	2,179,429
Interest and other financing expenses	(312,989)	(430,507)	(777,795)	(1,037,901)
Gain (loss) on debt extinguishment	-	4,491	-	(840,307)
Change in fair value of warrant liability	403,582	280,327	85,503	(604,048)
Basic and diluted net income (loss)	<u>\$ 1,419,277</u>	<u>\$ 1,074,128</u>	<u>\$ (212,417)</u>	<u>\$ (302,827)</u>
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	<u>\$ 0.02</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>	<u>\$ nil</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ 0.02</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>	<u>\$ nil</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	57,534,368	51,558,983	56,622,445	45,484,621
Diluted	61,217,221	56,582,673	56,622,445	45,484,621

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Command Center, Inc.
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows
(unaudited)

	Thirty-nine Weeks Ended	
	September 30, 2011 (restated)	September 24, 2010
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (212,417)	\$ (302,827)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	353,527	431,127
Loss on debt extinguishment	-	840,307
Change in allowance for bad debt	(66,723)	70,000
Change in fair value of stock warrant liability	(85,503)	604,048
Gain on sale of building	-	(25,550)
Common stock issued for interest and services	55,880	48,200
Common stock issued for rent	-	112,404
Common stock issuable for services	26,200	-
Stock based compensation	86,796	31,848
Amortization of note discount	-	235,792
Gain on sale of property	(7,500)	-
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable - trade	(4,052,742)	(3,148,849)
Other receivables	(141,331)	23
Prepaid expenses, deposits and other	(260,676)	(490,456)
Prepaid workers' compensation premiums	193,056	-
Workers' compensation risk pool deposits	1,619,242	856,112
Accounts payable	(1,275,688)	(199,413)
Accounts receivable factoring agreement	2,202,376	1,961,905
Accrued wages, benefits, and other current liabilities	616,529	135,933
Workers' compensation claims liability	(609,359)	9,134
Disbursements outstanding	710,942	620,281
Other current liabilities	187,393	(559,975)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	<u>(1,375,888)</u>	<u>1,230,044</u>
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of property and equipment	(221,358)	(11,811)
Proceeds on sale of building	-	156,690
Cash restricted by lender	150,000	(150,000)
Net cash used by investing activities	<u>(71,358)</u>	<u>(5,121)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from exercise of common stock warrants	220,000	-
Proceeds from private placement	-	420,000
Principal payments on notes payable	-	(1,115,563)
Costs of common stock offering and registration	-	(15,000)
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	<u>220,000</u>	<u>(710,563)</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash	<u>(1,227,246)</u>	<u>514,360</u>
Cash, beginning of period	<u>1,667,281</u>	<u>69,971</u>
Cash, end of period	<u>\$ 440,035</u>	<u>\$ 584,331</u>
Non-cash investing and financing activities		
Fair value of warrants issued in connection with debt extinguishment	\$ -	\$ 160,830
Common stock issued in connection with short-term debt refinancing	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000
Line of credit settled with accounts receivable	\$ -	\$ 2,915,645
Common stock issued for prepaid rent	\$ -	\$ 37,121
Property and equipment financed	\$ -	\$ 11,425
Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information		
Interest Paid	\$ 65,027	\$ 187,877

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Command Center, Inc.
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared by Command Center, Inc. (the *Company, us, we, or our*) in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial reporting, as well as the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles may have been condensed or omitted. In the opinion of our management, all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the fiscal periods presented have been included.

Interim results are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending December 30, 2011. The information included in this 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes to the financial statements included in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Reclassifications: Certain financial statement amounts for the prior period have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the net loss or accumulated deficit as previously reported.

Restricted Cash: We maintained a cash balance that was held on deposit as a requirement of a creditor.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and assumptions are inherent in the preparation of our financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions and could have a material effect on the reported amounts of our financial position and results of operations.

NOTE 2 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

We follow financial accounting standards which require the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income or loss available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, and does not include the impact of any potentially dilutive common stock equivalents. Diluted earnings per share reflect the potential dilution of securities that could share in our earnings through the conversion of common shares issuable via outstanding stock options and stock warrants. Total outstanding common stock equivalents at September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010 were 13,577,053 and 16,096,380, respectively. If we incur losses in the period presented, or if conversion into common shares is anti-dilutive, basic and dilutive earnings per share are equal.

NOTE 3 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Accelerated Option Vesting: In January 2011, we accelerated the vesting of options previously granted to a board member. This amounted to approximately \$30,000 in stock based compensation expense.

Warrant Exercise: On March 11, 2011, a non-management shareholder who owns 5% or more of our outstanding stock exercised 1.5 million warrants for 1.5 million shares of common stock for \$120,000.

Refer to Notes 6, 7 and 8 for additional related party disclosure.

NOTE 4 – LINE OF CREDIT FACILITY AND ACCOUNT PURCHASE AGREEMENT

We have an account purchase agreement in place which allows us to sell eligible accounts receivable for 90% of the invoiced amount on a full recourse basis up to the facility maximum, or \$10 million at September 30, 2011. When the invoice is paid, the remaining 10% is paid to us, less applicable fees and interest. Net accounts receivable sold pursuant to this agreement at September 30, 2011 were approximately \$8.0 million. The term of the agreement is for the period ending April 7, 2012. The agreement bears interest at the greater of the prime rate plus 2.5%, or the London Interbank Offered Rate plus 5.5%, with a floor of 6.25% per annum. At September 30, 2011 the effective interest rate was 6.25%. Interest is payable on the actual amount advanced or \$3 million, whichever is greater. Additional charges include an annual facility fee equal to one percent of the facility threshold in place, a monthly monitoring fee of \$5,000, and lockbox fees. As collateral for repayment of any and all obligations, we granted Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. a security interest in all of our property including, but not limited to, accounts receivable, intangible assets, contract rights, investment property, deposit accounts, and other such assets.

Command Center, Inc.
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (continued)

NOTE 4 – LINE OF CREDIT FACILITY AND ACCOUNT PURCHASE AGREEMENT (continued)

The agreement requires that the sum of the excess available advances, plus or minus our book cash balance at month end, must at all times be greater than accrued payroll and accrued payroll taxes. At September 30, 2011 we were in compliance with this covenant.

NOTE 5 – WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE AND RESERVES

We provide our temporary workers and our regular employees with workers' compensation insurance. On April 1, 2011 we changed workers' compensation carriers to Zurich American Insurance Company (*Zurich*). The policy with Zurich is a guaranteed cost plan, which is in contrast to our previous coverage where we were substantially self-insured through a large deductible or retro based policy. Zurich now provides workers compensation coverage in all states in which we operate other than Washington and North Dakota. For workers' compensation claims originating in Washington and North Dakota, monopolistic jurisdictions, we pay workers' compensation insurance premiums and obtain full coverage under state government administered programs. Accordingly, our financial statements reflect only the mandated workers' compensation insurance premium liability for workers' compensation claims in these jurisdictions.

Prior to Zurich, we maintained workers' compensation policies through AMS Staff Leasing II (*AMS*) for coverage in the non-monopolistic jurisdictions in which we operated. The AMS coverage was a large deductible policy where we had primary responsibility for claims under the policy. AMS provides re-insurance for covered losses and expenses in excess of \$250,000 per claim, which resulted in us being substantially self-insured.

Under the AMS policies, we make payments into a risk pool fund to cover claims within our self-insured layer. Per our contractual agreements with AMS, we are required to maintain a workers compensation deposit account in the amount of \$715,000. If our payments into the fund exceed our actual losses over the life of the claims, we may receive a refund of the excess risk pool payments. Conversely, if our workers' compensation reserve risk pool deposits are less than the expected losses for any given policy period, we may be obligated to contribute additional funds to the risk pool fund. We are under-funded in this workers compensation deposit account as of September 30, 2011. Our third party actuarial analysis forecasts a future liability related to these policy years of approximately \$2,000,000. Our workers compensation deposit account with AMS is approximately \$100,000. We are currently making weekly payments in the amount of \$25,000 to re-establish the required workers compensation deposit account. Additionally, we owe \$157,000 relating to the payroll audit of our policy ended March 31, 2011 and are making bi-weekly \$25,000 payments to AMS, for which we are fully reserved. The final payment is scheduled to be made on December 30, 2011.

Recently, we discovered that our workers compensation deposits related to multiple policy years with AIG Insurance, dated from May 13, 2006 to June 27, 2008 were overstated by approximately \$700,000. During our review, it was determined that approximately \$550,000 of the amount paid to our broker was not remitted to AIG Insurance. Of this amount, \$250,000 and \$300,000 relate to our insurance policies ended May 12, 2007 and May 12, 2008, respectively. At this time, we are unable to determine to which year the remaining \$150,000 pertains, but based upon information currently available, we do not believe that this amount would be material to any of the individual years. As such, we have adjusted for these items in the current quarter by reducing the workers compensation deposits by approximately \$700,000 and expensing this amount as additional workers compensation insurance expense as is our common accounting practice for the current adjustments for change in workers' compensation reserves.

We have received notice from AIG Insurance requesting additional collateral based upon contractual excess collateral agreements in the amount of approximately \$3,300,000 relating to these same policy years. Using third party actuarial analyses and considering the adjustments referenced above, we estimate that we may be under-collateralized with AIG Insurance by \$500,000 to \$1,000,000. Our liabilities associated with these collateral issues are fully reserved under our accruals for workers' compensation liabilities.

Expected losses will extend over the life of the longest lived claim which may be outstanding for many years. Our current quarterly actuarial analysis is based largely on industry averages which are used as a proxy to estimate our liability. If our average claims period is longer than industry average, our actual claims could exceed our current estimates. Conversely, if our average claims period is shorter than industry average, our actual claims could be less than current reserves. We have discounted the expected liability for future losses to present value using a discount rate of 3%.

Workers' compensation expense for temporary workers is recorded as a component of our cost of services and totaled approximately \$4.0 million and \$2.3 million for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010, respectively.

Command Center, Inc.
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (continued)

NOTE 6 – STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Issuance of Common Stock: In February 2011, we issued 60,000 shares of common stock for services with an aggregate value of \$22,200.

In March 2011, we issued 1.5 million shares of common stock for the exercise of 1.5 million warrants at an exercise price of \$.08 per share for a total purchase price of \$120,000. Also in March 2011, we issued 625,000 shares of common stock for the exercise of 625,000 warrants at an exercise price of \$.08 per share for a total purchase price of \$50,000.

In April 2011, we issued 312,500 shares of common stock for the exercise of 312,500 warrants at an exercise price of \$.08 per share for a total purchase price of \$25,000.

In May 2011, we issued 312,500 shares of common stock for the exercise of 312,500 warrants at an exercise price of \$.08 per share for a total purchase price of \$25,000. Also in May 2011, we issued 40,000 shares of common stock for services with an aggregate value of \$15,600.

In July 2011, we issued 60,000 shares of common stock for services with an aggregate value of \$28,400.

In September 2011, we issued 36,000 shares of common stock for services with an aggregate value of \$11,880.

All shares issued for non-cash consideration were valued based on the market price of our common stock at the dates of issuance or the date the services were earned.

The following warrants for our common stock were issued and outstanding for the thirty-nine weeks ending September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010, respectively:

	September 30, 2011	September 24, 2010
Warrants outstanding at beginning of period	14,887,803	10,762,803
Issued	-	4,125,000
Exercised	(2,750,000)	-
Warrants outstanding at end of period	<u>12,137,803</u>	<u>14,887,803</u>

A detail of warrants outstanding September 30, 2011 is as follows:

	Number	Expiration Date
Exercisable at \$1.25 per share	6,312,803	6/20/2013
Exercisable at \$1.50 per share	250,000	4/14/2012
Exercisable at \$0.08 per share	4,200,000	4/1/2014
Exercisable at between \$0.16 and \$1.00 per share	1,375,000	4/15/12 to 4/15/15
	<u>12,137,803</u>	

The fair values of outstanding warrants defined as a derivative instrument per accounting standards generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) are estimated each period using the Black-Scholes pricing model. The change in fair value is presented as a line item in our Statement of Operations and amounted to approximately \$86,000 for the thirty-nine weeks ending September 30, 2011.

NOTE 7 – STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

We approved an option plan in 2008 permitting the grant of 6.4 million stock options to employees for the purpose of attracting and motivating employees, officers and directors, as well as advancing our own interests. Options were granted for a term of three to five years from the date of grant. The vesting schedule varied on options granted with some being fully

vested upon grant and others vesting over a period of four years, with 25% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant and 25% vesting each anniversary thereafter for the following three years. There were 1,439,250 options vested at September 30, 2011.

Command Center, Inc.
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (continued)

NOTE 7 – STOCK BASED COMPENSATION (continued)

The following table reflects the summary of stock options outstanding at September 30, 2011 and changes during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011:

	Number of Shares Under Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Fair Value Per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding, December 31, 2010	3,258,000	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.17	\$ 1,068,870
Forfeited	(106,000)	0.17	0.15	(54,060)
Outstanding, April 1, 2011	3,152,000	0.20	0.17	1,014,810
Forfeited	(38,000)	0.17	0.15	(15,200)
Outstanding, July 1, 2011	3,114,000	0.20	0.17	999,610
Forfeited	(19,800)	0.17	0.15	(5,850)
Outstanding, September 30, 2011	<u>3,094,500</u>	<u>\$ 0.20</u>	<u>\$ 0.17</u>	<u>\$ 993,760</u>

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Expected volatility is based on historical annualized volatility of our stock. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate is based upon the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Currently, we do not foresee the payment of dividends in the near term. The assumptions used to calculate the fair value are as follows:

	Fifty-three Weeks Ended December 31, 2010
Expected term (years)	3.0 - 5.0
Expected volatility	120.4% - 142.7%
Dividend yield	0.0%
Risk-free rate	.52% - 2.13%

Under the fair value recognition provisions of GAAP, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized as an expense over the vesting period using the straight-line method of amortization. The expected postvesting exercise rate was determined based on an estimated annual turnover percentage of 15% with an estimated 90% of vested options expected to be exercised. Options granted to certain key employees were not taken into consideration when determining the postvesting effects due to turnover. During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011, we recognized share-based compensation expense of approximately \$87,000 relating to the vesting of issued stock options. Included in this expense is approximately \$30,000 relating to the accelerated vesting of options held by a board member.

The following table reflects the summary of our nonvested stock options outstanding at December 31, 2010 and changes during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Nonvested, December 31, 2010	2,633,000	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.15	\$ 1,026,870
Vested	(250,000)	0.17	0.15	(85,000)
Forfeited	(106,000)	0.17	0.15	(54,060)
Nonvested, April 1, 2011	2,277,000	0.17	0.15	887,810
Vested	(564,250)	0.17	0.15	(127,278)
Forfeited	(38,000)	0.17	0.15	(15,200)
Nonvested, July 1, 2011	1,674,750	0.17	0.15	745,333
Forfeited	(19,500)	0.17	0.15	(5,850)
Nonvested, September 30, 2011	<u>1,655,250</u>	<u>\$ 0.17</u>	<u>\$ 0.15</u>	<u>\$ 739,483</u>

Command Center, Inc.
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (continued)

NOTE 7 – STOCK BASED COMPENSATION (continued)

As of September 30, 2011, there was unrecognized share-based compensation expense totaling approximately \$190,000 relating to non-vested options that will be recognized over the next 2.6 years.

The following summarized information about the stock options outstanding at September 30, 2011:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding	3,094,500	\$ 0.20	3.29	\$ 599,928
Exercisable	1,439,250	0.24	2.93	103,353

Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment. In addition, judgment is also required in estimating the expected postvesting exercise rate. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate and, therefore, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of our stock options.

NOTE 8 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases on closed stores: During 2008 and 2009, we closed a number of stores in response to economic conditions and a general downturn in business opportunities in certain markets. During the first quarter of 2009, management assessed the likelihood of reopening the closed stores in the next twelve months as remote. With that determination, we recorded a reserve for closed store leases. This amount represents management's best estimate of the amounts likely to be paid in settlement of the outstanding lease obligations on the closed stores. Management has concluded that total lease obligations on closed stores at September 30, 2011 are approximately \$122,000.

Dun & Bradstreet: We were recently served with a lawsuit filed against us by Dun & Bradstreet. The suit alleges that D&B provided financial information and services to us on account pursuant to a written order and seeks to recover \$247,000. We have denied the material allegations of the case; pretrial discovery has not yet commenced. Based upon the limited information available at this early stage of this suit, management projects that a reasonable estimate of its liability in this case is approximately \$50,000. Accordingly, we have recorded a reserve of \$50,000 for this potential liability.

Contingent payroll and other tax liabilities: In May and June 2006, we acquired operating assets for a number of temporary staffing stores. The entities that owned and operated these stores received stock in consideration of the transaction. As operating businesses prior to our acquisition, each entity incurred obligations for payroll withholding taxes, workers' compensation insurance fund taxes, and other liabilities. We structured the acquisitions as an asset purchase and agreed to assume only the liability for each entity's accounts receivable financing line

of credit. We also obtained representations that liabilities for payroll taxes and other liabilities not assumed by us would be paid by the entities and in each case those entities are contractually committed to indemnify and hold harmless Command Center, Inc. from unassumed liabilities.

Since the acquisitions, it has come to our attention that certain tax obligations incurred on operations prior to our acquisitions have not been paid. The entities that sold us the assets (the *selling entities*) are primarily liable for these obligations. The owners of the selling entities may also be liable. In most cases, the selling entities were owned or controlled by Glenn Welstad, our CEO.

Based on the information currently available, we estimate that the total state payroll and other tax liabilities owed by the selling entities is between \$400,000 and \$600,000 and that total payroll taxes due to the IRS are between \$1 and \$2 million. The Asset Purchase Agreement governing these transactions required that the selling entities indemnify us for any liabilities or claims we incur as a result of these predecessor tax liabilities. We have also secured an indemnification agreement from Glenn Welstad with a partial pledge of his common stock.

We have not accrued any liability related to these claims for state payroll and other tax liabilities and total payroll taxes due to the IRS. We have been advised by outside legal counsel that the likelihood of successor liability for the federal payroll tax liability claims remains remote. We would be adversely affected if the state and/or federal government were able to establish that we are liable for these claims.

Command Center, Inc.
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (continued)

NOTE 8 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

Everyday Staffing, LLC tax liabilities: On June 30, 2006, we acquired three locations from Everyday Staffing LLC (*Everyday Staffing*) in exchange for 579,277 shares of our common stock. At the time of the acquisitions, Michael Moothart, controlling member of Everyday Staffing, represented that all tax liabilities of Everyday Staffing had been paid. As a result of the acquisitions, we booked a note payable to Everyday Staffing in the amount of approximately \$113,000. In early 2008, we received notice from the State of Washington that Everyday Staffing owed certain tax obligations to the State that arose prior to the date of acquisition. The State of Washington requested that we pay the amounts due under a theory of successor liability. Subsequently, we received a second claim for successor liability. These two claims are described below.

The first claim relates to business and occupation and excise tax obligations in the approximate amount of \$250,000. Upon receipt of the notice, we contacted Mr. Moothart and demanded that he resolve the tax obligations. Mr. Moothart indicated that his legal counsel was working on the matter. While Mr. Moothart was pursuing the matter through his counsel, and in order to forestall further action against us, we agreed to make payments on the debt in satisfaction of the Everyday Staffing note payable to us. During the 52 weeks ended December 25, 2009, we paid Everyday Staffing's business and occupation and excise tax obligations totaling approximately \$231,000. During this time, Everyday Staffing took no apparent action to settle its obligations to us or the State of Washington.

The second claim relates to Everyday Staffing liabilities for industrial insurance taxes that the State of Washington asserts were not paid by Everyday Staffing. The claims against us are based on the theory of successor liability. The Department of Labor and Industries (the *Department*) has estimated the amount of the unpaid industrial insurance premiums of approximately \$1.2 million plus interest. We have disputed the amount due and we have referred the matter to counsel. The amount claimed by the Department is based on an audit of Everyday Staffing in which the auditor appears to have made unsupportable assignments of workers' compensation job codes, hours worked and other estimates of amounts due, all of which we believe to be grossly misstated. Our review of Everyday Staffing's financial records shows that payments made to the Department approximate the amounts that Everyday Staffing indicates were owed for industrial insurance.

Based upon the theory of successor liability, the Department issued two Notices and Orders of Assessment of Industrial Insurance Taxes (*Notice(s)*) to us. The first Notice claims and assesses taxes of approximately \$57,000 and the second Notice claims and assesses the amount of approximately \$901,000. We strongly dispute both the alleged successor liability and also the monetary amount asserted by the Department. We are pursuing our administrative remedies in order to vigorously contest the assertions of these Notices. In strongly disputing the claims of the Department, management believes that the potential liability, if any, is not probable and is not reasonably estimable at this time. Accordingly, no liability has been established on our balance sheet for the amount claimed. Management believes our liability, if any, from the claims and assessments of the Department are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in future periods.

In response to our position that we are not the legal successor to Everyday Staffing, the Department asserted its claim of successor liability against a second limited liability company, also known as Everyday Staffing, LLC (*Everyday Staffing II*). Everyday Staffing II was organized by the members of Everyday Staffing after Everyday Staffing was administratively dissolved by the state. The assertion by the State of Washington of successor liability against Everyday Staffing II is consistent with the position advanced by us that Everyday Staffing II, and not Command Center, Inc. is the only successor to the entity against which the industrial insurance taxes were assessed.

The Asset Purchase Agreement signed in connection with the acquisition of assets from Everyday Staffing requires that Everyday Staffing indemnify and hold harmless Command Center, Inc. for liabilities, such as those asserted in the Department Notices, that were not expressly assumed. In response to the Department Notices for payment of Everyday Staffing liabilities, we filed a lawsuit against Everyday Staffing and Mr. Moothart seeking indemnification and monetary damages. On September 30, 2009, we obtained a judgment against Mr. Moothart and Everyday Staffing, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$1.295 million. The collectability of this judgment is questionable. Glenn Welstad, our CEO, has a minority interest in Everyday Staffing as a passive investor.

NOTE 9 – RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR A CORRECTION OF AN ERROR

In October 2011, we discovered that our workers' compensation deposits with AIG were overstated by \$715,890, principally due to the misdirection of premium-related payments by our former insurance broker. At that time, we made an adjustment in the current period to correct the error, which affected our 2011 third quarter.

After our 2011 fiscal year end, we investigated this matter further and determined that the accounting treatment in the third quarter of 2011 was itself erroneous because the charge should have been recorded as a charge to the earliest opening retained earnings (accumulated deficit) balance reported. The actual correction was for the 2006 – 2008 periods when the broker had wrongfully

appropriated the funds for insurance deposits.

Command Center, Inc.
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (continued)

NOTE 9 – RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR A CORRECTION OF AN ERROR (continued)

The effects of these restatements for fiscal year 2010 include the reduction of the workers' compensation deposits asset by \$715,890 and an increase in our accumulated deficit by the same amount as originally reported in the Form 10-K. In both the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011, Net income in our Statements of Operations increased by \$715,890 and earnings per share increased by \$0.01 and \$0.02 in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

A summary of the effects of the restatement are as follows:

	<u>September 30, 2011</u>		<u>December 31, 2010</u>	
	<u>As Reported</u>	<u>As Corrected</u>	<u>As Reported</u>	<u>As Corrected</u>
Accumulated deficit	\$(54,312,065)	\$(54,312,065)	\$(53,383,757)	\$(54,099,647)
Workers' compensation deposit, less current portion	\$ 57,572	\$ 57,572	\$ 1,430,814	\$ 714,924

	<u>Thirteen Weeks Ended</u>			
	<u>September 30, 2011</u>		<u>September 24, 2010</u>	
	<u>As Reported</u>	<u>As Corrected</u>	<u>As Reported</u>	<u>As Corrected</u>
Net Income	\$ 703,387	\$ 1,419,277	\$ 1,074,128	\$ 1,074,128
Earnings per share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02

	<u>Thirty-nine Weeks Ended</u>			
	<u>September 30, 2011</u>		<u>September 24, 2010</u>	
	<u>As Reported</u>	<u>As Corrected</u>	<u>As Reported</u>	<u>As Corrected</u>
Net Income (loss)	\$ (928,307)	\$ (212,417)	\$ 1,074,128	\$ 1,074,128
Earnings per share	\$ (0.02)	nil	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Command Center is a provider of temporary employees to the restoration, wholesale trades, manufacturing, hospitality, construction and retail industries. We provide unskilled and semi-skilled workers to our customers. Generally, we pay our workers the same day they perform the job.

Our mission is to be the preferred partner of choice for all on-demand employment solutions by placing the right people in the right position every time. With the acquisition of our on-demand labor stores, we have consolidated operations, established and implemented corporate operating policies and procedures, and developed a unified branding strategy for all of our stores.

Effective April 1, 2011 we changed our workers' compensation carrier to Zurich American Insurance Company, an A+ rated carrier, in all states in which we operate other than states that require coverage through government administered programs. Our new coverage has a zero deductible, requires no collateral deposits, and has no tailing liability associated with it. This change came as we were experiencing an upward trend in our workers' compensation expenses. Our new policy is a guaranteed cost policy, in contrast to our previous policy where we were substantially self-insured. Along with changing our workers' compensation carrier, we also changed our workers' compensation insurance brokerage firm. We expect the new broker to be more effective in assisting us with closing existing claims which will ultimately result in a reduction of the estimated liability associated with those claims and have a positive effect on future net incomes.

At September 30, 2011, we were operating 54 stores located in 23 states compared to operating 50 stores in 20 states as well as 1 additional operations site in Louisiana relating to the Gulf Coast oil spill at September 24, 2010.

The following table reflects operating results for the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011 compared to the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2010 (in thousands, except per share amounts and percentages). Percentages indicate line items as a percentage of total revenue. The following table serves as the basis for the narrative discussion that follows and includes the correction of an error discussed in Note 9 to the financial statements.

	Thirteen Weeks Ended				Thirty-nine Weeks Ended			
	September 30, 2011		September 24, 2010		September 30, 2011		September 24, 2010	
Revenue	\$ 24,973		\$ 19,701		\$ 61,652		\$ 50,640	
Cost of staffing services	19,135	76.6%	14,761	74.9%	48,090	78.0%	37,806	74.7%
Gross Profit	5,838	23.4%	4,940	25.1%	13,562	22.0%	12,834	25.3%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4,414	17.7%	3,576	18.2%	12,729	20.6%	10,224	20.2%
Depreciation and amortization	95	0.4%	144	0.7%	353	0.5%	431	0.8%
Income from operations	1,329	5.3%	1,220	6.2%	480	0.8%	2,179	4.3%
Interest expense and other financing expense	(313)	-1.2%	(431)	-2.1%	(778)	-1.2%	(1,038)	-2.0%
Loss on debt extinguishment	-	0.0%	5	0.0%	-	0.0%	(840)	-1.7%
Change in fair value of warrant liability	403	1.6%	280	1.4%	86	0.1%	(604)	-1.2%
Basic and diluted net income (loss)	<u>\$ 1,419</u>	5.7%	<u>\$ 1,074</u>	5.5%	<u>\$ (212)</u>	-0.3%	<u>\$ (303)</u>	-0.6%

Results of Operations

Thirteen Weeks Ended September 30, 2011

Summary of Operations: Revenue for the thirteen weeks ended September 30, 2011 was \$25.0 million, an increase of \$5.3 million, or 26.8%, when compared to the third quarter of 2010. This increase in revenue is attributable to disaster relief work in North Dakota, New York, and Pennsylvania, as well as, organic sales growth due to the sustained increase in demand in the temporary staffing industry.

Cost of Services: Cost of services was 76.6% and 74.9% of revenue for the thirteen weeks ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010, respectively. There were several factors that influenced this increase in relative costs. These factors include an increase in wages paid to our temporary employees, or Field Team Members (FTMs) and the related payroll taxes as compared to client bill rates.

Workers' compensation expense was 4.9% and 4.5% of revenue for the thirteen weeks ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010, respectively. This increase is primarily due to a change in the mixture of work performed by our FTM's as well as a decrease in estimated settlement costs during the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2010.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (SG&A): SG&A expenses were 17.7% and 18.2% of revenue for the thirteen weeks ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010, respectively. This relative decrease is due to cost controlling measures being leveraged over increased revenue.

While SG&A expenses decreased relative to revenue, they increased by approximately \$838,000. This increase was due primarily to an increase in internal wages and the related payroll taxes, other expenses that are directly related to volume such as background checks, and increased travel costs.

Thirty-nine Weeks Ended September 30, 2011

Summary of Operations: Year-to-date revenue at September 30, 2011 was \$61.7 million, an increase of \$11.0 million, or 21.7%, compared to 2010. Our increase in revenue is due primarily to increased demand from our clients relating to the recovering economy. As the economy improves, employers place a higher priority on maintaining a flexible workforce, which translates into higher demand in the temporary staffing industry.

Cost of Services: Cost of services were 78.0% and 74.7% of revenue for the thirty-nine ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010, respectively. The major factors that influenced this increase in relative costs were an increase in wages paid to our FTMs and the related payroll taxes. Pricing pressures have prevented us from passing on all of our cost increases to our clients.

Workers' compensation costs were 5.3% and 4.5% of revenue through the third quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. This increase is due to a holdover from the large increase we experienced in the first quarter of 2011, which led to us changing our workers' compensation coverage and partially due to the change in the structure of our workers compensation coverage. In prior years, our workers' compensation rate was fixed regardless of the nature of the work performed and coupled with a variable premium relating to actual claims costs. Now, our workers' compensation rate varies based on the nature of the work being performed and there is not variable premium based on actual claims costs. We undertook some disaster related work this year with a relatively higher rate than the rates associated with our typical work mix.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (SG&A): SG&A expenses were 20.6% and 20.2% of revenue for the thirty-nine ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010, respectively. The major factor that influenced this increase in relative costs was an increase in salaries, wages and incentive compensation paid to our full time employees. We were successful in cutting and controlling costs in most other areas while increasing same branch revenue, and are working on implementing a new bonus structure that will increase emphasis on our operating margin. We remain focused on improving our operating margin and increasing profitability by critically analyzing and controlling costs while increasing same branch revenue.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have incurred significant losses since inception and have funded our operations to date primarily through the sale of equity and debt securities. Based on our current operating plan, we anticipate that we have sufficient cash and cash equivalents to fund our operations into December 2011. We will require additional cash to fund our operating plan past that time. If the level of sales anticipated by our financial plan are not achieved or our working capital requirements are higher than planned, we will need to raise additional cash sooner or take actions to reduce operating expenses. We are implementing plans to reduce our operating expenses, defer payments to reduce our working capital requirements and improve our revenue. If we cannot generate adequate cash by implementing these steps, we plan to obtain additional cash through the issuance of equity or debt securities. There can be no assurance that additional cash will be available or that, if available, it will be available on terms acceptable to us on a timely basis. If adequate funds are not available on a timely basis, we intend to limit our operations to extend our funds as we pursue other financing opportunities and business relationships. This limitation of operations could include reducing our planned investment in working capital to fund revenue growth and result in reductions in staff, operating costs, and capital expenditures.

Cash used in operating activities totaled approximately \$1.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to cash provided by operations of approximately \$1.2 million during the same period in 2010. During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011, the increase in cash used in operating activities was primarily driven by an increase in our trade receivables of approximately \$4.1 million, and decrease in trade payables of approximately \$1.3 million. This was offset by a decrease in accrued wages and benefits and disbursements outstanding of \$1.3 million, and an increase in the amount drawn with our lender of \$2.2 million.

Cash used by investing activities totaled approximately \$71,000 for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011 compared to approximately \$5,000 during the same time period in 2010. During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011, we used approximately \$221,000 for the purchase of additional property and equipment, which was offset by a \$150,000 reduction in cash held by one of our creditors. During the same time period in 2010, we were required to provide \$150,000 as collateral with a creditor, which was offset by proceeds of approximately \$157,000 related to the sale of a building we owned.

Cash provided by financing activities totaled \$220,000 for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011 compared to net cash used of approximately \$711,000 during the same period in 2010. The 2011 proceeds were related solely to the conversion of warrants held to shares.

Our continued capital needs will depend on store operating performance, our ability to control costs, and the continued impact from the general economic slowdown and the recovery cycle on our business. We currently have approximately 12.1 million warrants outstanding which may offer a source of additional capital if exercised. However, there can be no assurance that warrant holders will be willing to exercise their warrants prior to their expiration dates. It is unknown at this time whether the market price of our stock or a discount would encourage warrant holders to exercise.

Accounts Receivable: At September 30, 2011, we had total current assets of approximately \$ 6.0 million and current liabilities of approximately \$ 5.0 million. Included in current assets are trade accounts receivable of approximately \$ 3.8 million (net of allowance for bad debts of approximately \$172,000). Our cash position at September 30, 2011 was approximately \$440,000. Weighted average aging on our trade accounts receivable at September 30, 2011 was 26 days. Actual bad debt write-off expense as a percentage of total customer invoices during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 30, 2011 was 0.4%. Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amounts. We regularly review our accounts receivable for collectability. The allowance for doubtful accounts is determined based on historical write-off experience and current economic data and represents our best estimate of the amount of probable losses on our accounts receivable. We typically refer overdue balances to a collection agency at 120 days and the collection agent pursues collection for another 60 days. Most balances over 120 days past due are written off as it is probable the receivable will not be collected. As our business matures, we will continue to monitor and seek to improve our historical collection ratio and aging experience with respect to trade accounts receivable. As we grow, our historical collection ratio and aging experience with respect to trade accounts receivable will continue to be important factors affecting our liquidity.

Financing: We have an account purchase agreement in place which allows us to sell eligible accounts receivable for 90% of the invoiced amount on a full recourse basis up to the facility maximum, or \$10 million at September 30, 2011. The eligible accounts receivable are calculated by taking 90% of our invoiced amount and reducing it by accounts that are greater than sixty days old and the current week's payroll taxes. When the account is paid, the remaining 10% is paid to us, less the applicable fees and interest. Net accounts receivable sold pursuant to this agreement at September 30, 2011 were approximately \$8.0 million. The term of the agreement is for the period ending April 7, 2012. The agreement bears interest at the greater of the prime rate plus 2.5% or the London Interbank Offered Rate plus 5.5%, with a floor of 6.25%, per annum. At September 30, 2011 the effective interest rate was 6.25%. Interest is payable on the actual amount advanced or \$3 million, whichever is greater. Additional charges include an annual facility fee equal to one percent of the facility threshold in place, a monthly monitoring fee of \$5,000, and lockbox fees. As collateral for repayment of any and all obligations, we granted Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. a security interest in all our property, including, but not limited to, accounts, intangible assets, contract rights, investment property, deposit accounts, and other such assets.

Acquisitions and Successor Liability: In May and June 2006, we acquired operating assets for a number of temporary staffing stores. The entities that owned and operated these stores received stock in consideration of the transaction. As operating businesses prior to our acquisition, each entity incurred obligations for payroll withholding taxes, workers' compensation insurance fund taxes, and other liabilities. We structured the acquisition as an asset purchase and agreed to assume only the liability for each entity's accounts receivable financing line of credit. We also obtained representations that liabilities for payroll taxes and other liabilities not assumed by us would be paid by the entities and in each case those entities are contractually committed to indemnify and hold harmless the Company from unassumed liabilities.

Since the acquisitions, it has come to our attention that certain tax obligations incurred on operations prior to our acquisitions have not been paid. The entities that sold us the assets (the *selling entities*) are primarily liable for these obligations. The owners of the selling entities may also be liable. In most cases, the selling entities were owned or controlled by Glenn Welstad, our CEO.

Based on the information currently available, we estimate that the total state payroll and other tax liabilities owed by the selling entities is between \$400,000 and \$600,000 and that total payroll taxes due to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is between \$1 and \$2 million.

The Asset Purchase Agreements governing these transactions requires that the selling entities indemnify us for any liabilities or claims we incur as a result of these predecessor tax liabilities. We have also secured an indemnification agreement from Glenn Welstad with a partial pledge of his common stock.

We have not accrued any liability related to these claims for state payroll taxes and total payroll taxes due to the IRS because we have been advised by outside legal counsel that the likelihood of successor liability for these claims is remote. We would be adversely affected if the state or federal government was able to establish we are liable for these claims.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") that are designed to be effective in providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In designing and evaluating disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute assurance of achieving the desired objectives. Also, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. The design of any system of controls is based, in part, upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, management concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are not effective at a reasonable assurance level as of September 30, 2011 to cause the information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods prescribed by SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with the requisite evaluation that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all errors or all instances of fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and any design may not succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitation of a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We were recently served with a lawsuit filed against the company by Dun & Bradstreet. The suit alleges that D&B provided financial information and services to us on account pursuant to a written order and seeks to recover \$247,000. We have denied the material allegations of the case; pretrial discovery has not yet commenced. Based upon the limited information available at this early stage of this suit, management projects that a reasonable estimate of its liability in this case is approximately \$50,000. Accordingly, we have recorded a reserve of \$50,000 for this potential liability.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

On July 7, 2011, we issued 30,000 shares of common stock to James Caplan for services valued at \$14,200. Also on July 7, 2011, we issued 30,000 shares of common stock to Victor Nostas for services valued at \$14,200.

On September 20, 2011, 18,000 shares of common stock to James Caplan for services valued at \$5,940. Also on September 20, 2011, we issued 18,000 shares of common stock to Victor Nostas for services valued at \$5,940.

We believe these securities transaction were exempt from registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the *Act*) because they were offered and sold in private, non-public transactions pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Act and are deemed restricted securities which may not be publicly resold, without registration under the Act or unless exempt from the registration requirements.

ITEM 3. DEFAULT ON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification of Glenn Welstad, Chief Executive Officer of Command Center, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Ralph E. Peterson, Chief Financial Officer of Command Center, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Glenn Welstad, Chief Executive Officer of Command Center, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted in Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Ralph E. Peterson, Chief Financial Officer of Command Center, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted in Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COMMAND CENTER, INC .

<u>/s/Glenn Welstad</u> Signature	<u>President and CEO</u> Title	<u>Glenn Welstad</u> Printed Name	<u>May 4, 2012</u> Date
<u>/s/ Ralph E. Peterson</u> Signature	<u>CFO, Principal Financial Officer</u> Title	<u>Ralph E. Peterson</u> Printed Name	<u>May 4, 2012</u> Date

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Glenn Welstad, President and Chief Executive officer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Command Center, Inc.
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 (e) and 15d-15(e) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of this annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: May 4, 2012

/s/Glenn Welstad

Glenn Welstad

Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Ralph E. Peterson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Command Center, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 (e) and 15d-15(e) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of this annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: May 4, 2012

/s/ Ralph E. Peterson

Ralph E. Peterson
Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002, 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Command Center, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Glenn Welstad, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company at the dates and for the periods covered by the Report.

It is not intended that this statement be deemed to be filed for purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Dated: May 4, 2012

/s/Glenn Welstad

Glenn Welstad

Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002, 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Command Center, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Ralph E. Peterson, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company at the dates and for the periods covered by the Report.

It is not intended that this statement be deemed to be filed for purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Dated: May 4, 2012

/s/ Ralph E. Peterson

Ralph E. Peterson

Chief Financial Officer